

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY HEALTH: CONCEPTS, FRAMEWORKS, AND LIVABLE COMMUNITIES

ZRÓWNOWAŻONE ZDROWIE SPOŁECZNOŚCI: KONCEPCJE, RAMY I WARUNKI SPRZYJAJĄCE JAKOŚCI ŻYCIA

Rajni Devi^{1 A,F}, Gauri Goel^{1 A,F}, Bindu Sharma^{2 E}, Sumista Rani^{3 A,E}

¹Department of Home Science, Shaheed Mangal Pandey Government Girls Degree College, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut, India

¹Wydział Nauk o Gospodarstwie Domowym, Państwowa Wyższa Szkoła dla Dziewcząt im. Shaheeda Mangala Pandeya, Uniwersytet im. Chaudhary'ego Charana Singha, Meerut, Indie

²Department of Zoology, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut, India

²Wydział Zoologii, Uniwersytet im. Chaudhary'ego Charana Singha, Meerut, Indie

³Department of Education in Social Sciences and Humanities, Regional institute of Education (NCERT), Bhubhaneswar, India

³Wydział Edukacji w Naukach Społecznych i Humanistycznych, Regionalny Instytut Edukacji (NCERT), Bhubaneswar, Indie

Devi, R., Gauri, G., Sharma, B., Rani, S. (2026). Sustainable community health: concepts, frameworks, and livable communities / Zrównoważone zdrowie społeczności: koncepcje, ramy i warunki sprzyjające jakości życia. Social Dissertations / Rozprawy Społeczne, 20(1), 252-273. <https://doi.org/10.29316/rs/231497>

Authors' contribution /
Wkład autorów:

- A. Study design /
Zaplanowanie badań
- B. Data collection /
Zebranie danych
- C. Data analysis /
Dane – analiza
i statystyki
- D. Data interpretation /
Interpretacja danych
- E. Preparation of manu-
script /
Przygotowanie artykułu
- F. Literature analysis /
Wyszukiwanie i analiza
literatury
- G. Funds collection /
Zebranie funduszy

Tables / Tabele: 0

Figures / Ryciny: 0

References / Literatura: 73

Submitted / Otrzymano:

2026-04-30

Accepted / Zaakceptowano:

2026-08-21

Abstract: This article examines sustainable community health as an overarching framework that connects human well-being with social equity, economic opportunity, and environmental sustainability. It aims to identify the key interactions among social, economic, and environmental factors that shape these dimensions and to highlight effective strategies, policies, and approaches for developing resilient and equitable health systems.

Materials and methods: The study adopts a qualitative approach based exclusively on a review of the relevant literature.

Results: Drawing on a range of sources, including participatory governance models, preventive health approaches, policy frameworks, and case studies, the review demonstrates how community empowerment and cross-sectoral collaboration can contribute to achieving long-term health equity.

Conclusion: Sustainable community health should be understood as an ongoing process grounded in collaboration, creativity, and shared responsibility. Such an approach can help ensure that health and stability remain key priorities for communities and societies in the 21st century.

Keywords: sustainable community health, preventive health systems, environmental sustainability, community participation, livable communities

Streszczenie: Celem artykułu jest analiza zrównoważonego zdrowia społeczności jako nadrzędnych ram łączących dobrostan człowieka ze sprawiedliwością społeczną, możliwościami ekonomicznymi oraz zrównoważonym rozwojem środowiskowym. Celem badania jest identyfikacja kluczowych zależności między czynnikami społecznymi,

Adres korespondencyjny: Sumista Rani, Wydział Edukacji w Naukach Społecznych i Humanistycznych, Regionalny Instytut Edukacji (NCERT), Bhubaneswar, Indie; email: Rsumista@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-2615-4791

Copyright: © 2026 Rajni Devi, Gauri Goel, Bindu Sharma, Sumista Rani



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).

ekonomicznymi i środowiskowymi, które kształtują te wymiary. Ponadto wskazano skuteczne strategie, polityki i podejścia wspierające rozwój odpornych i sprawiedliwych systemów ochrony zdrowia.

Materiały i metody: Badanie ma charakter jakościowy i opiera się wyłącznie na przeglądzie literatury.

Wyniki: Na podstawie analizy różnorodnych źródeł, w tym modeli zarządzania partycypacyjnego, podejść profilaktycznych w ochronie zdrowia, ram politycznych oraz studiów przypadków, przedstawiono, w jaki sposób wzmacnianie sprawczości społeczności oraz współpraca międzysektorowa mogą przyczyniać się do osiągnięcia długoterminowej sprawiedliwości zdrowotnej.

Wnioski: Zrównoważone zdrowie społeczności jest procesem ciągłym, opartym na współpracy, kreatywności i współodpowiedzialności. Podejście to może przyczynić się do zapewnienia, że zdrowie i stabilność pozostaną kluczowymi priorytetami dla społeczeństwa w XXI wieku.

Słowa kluczowe: zrównoważone zdrowie społeczności, systemy profilaktyki zdrowotnej, zrównoważony rozwój środowiskowy, udział społeczności, warunki sprzyjające jakości życia

Introduction

Health and sustainability are two core concepts that define the human development journey (Marmot, 2015). Individual and societal well-being characterize a good life, while the ability to live longer and meaningfully depends on the social and environmental systems that facilitate growth (Kickbusch, Gleicher, 2012). Sustainable community health is an emerging concept in the contemporary world, housing the philosophy of guiding today's health gains and ensuring that future generations also benefit without compromising environmental integrity or access to cultural and social amenities (Hancock, 1993; McMichael, 2017). However, the concept assumes an integrated perspective of sustainability in human health (Lang, Rayner, 2012). Modern public health works on the premise that disease control, triage, sanitation, and healthcare delivery form core health systems (Detels et al., 2009). However, sustainability defines health as a relationship paradigm among people and their environment, among people and their place in various social groupings, and past, present, and future human generations (Dahlgren, Whitehead, 1991; Barton, Grant, 2006). The World Health Organization defines a health system as organizations, people, and actions that achieve health promotion, restoration, and maintenance (WHO, 2007). The health system should, however, be positioned under sustainability leadership (WHO, 2021). Accordingly, the health system should keenly focus on pollution-free environments, resilient economies, sustainable social amenities, and other dimensions of sustainability (McMichael, Butler, Folke, 2003). The successful implementation of the 2030 Agenda requires the use of comprehensive indicators that can monitor progress across health, social, economic, and environmental dimensions of sustainable development (Lee et al., 2016). Recent human development assessments emphasize that addressing inequalities and strengthening resilience are essential for achieving sustainable development and improving long-term community well-being (Ghatak, 2022). Sustainable development frameworks increasingly emphasize the integration of social, economic, and environmental dimensions to achieve the goals of the 2030 Agenda and promote long-term human well-being (Biswas et al., 2021).

The Evolving Concept of Sustainability in Health

The concept of sustainability in health is rooted in the environmental movements of the 1970s, but it has become especially powerful in the 21st century, as many health systems faced

unprecedented challenges from climate change, demographic shifts, pandemics, and growing inequalities (McMichael, 2017; Costello et al., 2009). More specifically, sustainability in health would be framed, considering the definition by Mpofu (2020): a dynamic process of sustaining the capacity of health systems to respond to changing health needs and circumstances; dynamic also implies resilience, self-reliance, and balance. The communities that foster these qualities can quickly overcome health challenges, ensure equal access to health care, and protect the most vulnerable (Kickbusch, Gleicher, 2012).

Indeed, health sustainability also means an intergenerational aspect – no harm from the activities of the present to the well-being of future generations (Brundtland Commission, 1987). Together, these ethical backgrounds envisage responsible use of natural and social capital and confirm the notion that just as health systems must be fair across populations, they should also be fair across time (Lang, Rayner, 2012; Raworth, 2017). As for the former, health systems must be reorganized, financed and administered according to the dramatic change (WHO, 2007; Frenk, Moon, 2013).

The Community as a Living Health System

A community is not merely an administrative unit but a living, constantly-changing interaction system. According to Mpofu (2020), the community is the primary space in which health is created, felt, and transferred. It conveys that the quality of community health is defined by social reciprocity, cultural traditions, the context of nature and economic setting (Kawachi, Berkman, 2001). Community resilience is determined not just by tangible resources but also by social capital trust, reciprocity, and partnership that keep people together according to Keyes and Benavides (2020).

For these reasons, a sustainable community health approach, therefore, refocuses individual-level efforts on collective, systems-level solution generation (Hancock, 1993; Barton, Grant, 2006). The approach acknowledges that malnutrition, pollution, mental health, and non-communicable diseases, among others, are issues of socio-ecological environments (Lang, Rayner, 2012). From an eco-systemic perspective, health and well-being are shaped by the dynamic interactions between individuals, communities, and their physical, social, and environmental surroundings, highlighting the interconnected nature of sustainable community health (Kirsten et al., 2009). Mental health challenges among children and adolescents have emerged as a major public health concern worldwide, highlighting the need for community-based and preventive approaches to support long-term well-being (Buchweitz et al., 2022). Solving them, therefore, necessitates multi-sectoral intervention by integrating health with education, agriculture, housing, employment, and environmental management (Kickbusch, 2010; WHO, 2021). For instance, reducing air pollution favours ecosystems and lowers the levels of respiratory diseases, evidencing the interplay between environmental sustainability and public health (Landrigan et al., 2018).

From Health Promotion to Livable Communities

Livable communities are a concept that expands the health promotion discourse to transform the health system through design, infrastructure, and urban planning phenomena (Barton et al., 2021). The design of neighborhoods plays a critical role in promoting both local health and global

sustainability, as well-planned communities can encourage active lifestyles, social interaction, environmental stewardship, and overall well-being (Kleopa et al., 2024). Building resilient urban environments is increasingly recognized as a key component of sustainable community health, as it enhances communities' capacity to adapt to environmental, social, and public health challenges while maintaining safety and well-being (Kapucu et al., 2021). The built environment plays a crucial role in shaping health behaviors, as access to walkable neighborhoods, recreational spaces, and healthy food options can promote active living and healthier dietary choices among community members (Frank et al., 2022). The healthy cities framework has emerged as an effective strategy for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals through integrated urban planning and public health interventions (Dehrashid et al., 2026). They promote an active routine that is free of hazards and facilitates community cohesion. Given their very nature of being a compromise between physical, mental, and social well-being, these communities are sustainable phenomena of the health system (Jackson, 2003; Hancock, 1993). Strong community resilience and social capital have also been shown to play a crucial role in protecting mental health and supporting recovery during public health emergencies and disasters (Hall, 2023).

In addition, sustainable design for communities in urban settings entails green spaces, walkable infrastructure, renewable energy among other features such as affordable housing (Szibbo, 2011). Similarly, rural and underserved communities require water, sanitation, and healthcare while also limiting some environmentally unhealthy infrastructures (Stromquist, 2019). In all the aspects, participation is also required. According to Mpofu (2020), "the involvement of people in the design health program enhances the possibility of the program being focused and relevant to the target population, appropriate and with an element of recognition".

Policy Context and Global Commitments

The current global policy background highlights the importance of health planning based on sustainability principles (WHO, 2021). Recent global health discussions have emphasized the need for stronger international cooperation and coordinated governance frameworks to address emerging public health challenges and improve health system resilience in the twenty-first century (Duff et al., 2021). The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development stresses that universal health coverage, the reduction of inequalities, and the increase of ability to withstand shocks emanating from environmental and economic changes should be achieved (Palaka, Prasad, 2025). The WHO Global Strategy on Human Resources for Health (2016) stresses that the sustainability of the health workforce is based on education, retention, and distribution. Even though the national and regional governments are integrating sustainability into health-based policy-making, the implementation gap is still substantial.

Nevertheless, challenges remain-fragmented governance, inconsistent funding, technological disparities, and cultural barriers continue to impede the effectiveness of transformation (Frenk, Moon, 2013). Long after eleven postwar years, many health systems are still operating as if they are repeatedly caught off guard – treating rather than preventing diseases and setting goals and priorities that are overly short-term and ignore what it takes to function in the long run. Sustainable community health aims to reverse this mentality by reclassifying health as a shared societal expenditure rather than a burden to be avoided.

Purpose and Scope of this paper

This paper seeks to offer a detailed overview of the dimensions and configurations behind sustainable community health. Inspired by the work of Mpofu and supported by sufficient complementary global evidence, this analysis is multidimensional and structured around following questions:

1. What are the key conceptual and theoretical dimensions of sustainable community health?
2. What are the key interactions between social, economic, and environmental factors that shape these dimensions?
3. What are the most efficient strategies, policies, and community-led interventions for creating livable, resilient, and equitable health systems?

Finally, the goal is to demonstrate that sustainable community health is more than a noble dream and is a feasible condition if societies promote inclusivity, evidence-based policymaking, and intersectoral collaboration. Peace, justice, and strong institutions are essential for the sustainability of health promotion systems, as they help us understand human and environmental health as closely interconnected and the recognize importance of health equity as the cornerstone for a just and sustainable future.

Methodology of this Paper

Aligned with the study's aim of developing an integrated conceptual model for "Sustainable Community Health: Concepts, Frameworks, and Livable Communities" this study adopts a qualitative methodology based on the conceptual analysis method. According to the conceptual analysis, this study is framed as narrative review research to extensively analyze the standing literature and accomplish the main aim. The adopted review process was structured as seven main parts such as 1. Conceptual framework of sustainable community health; 2. The Three pillars of sustainable community health: social, economic, and environmental dimensions; 3. Livable communities and community participation; 4. Policies and practices for sustainable health systems; 5. Challenges and barriers to implementation; 6. Case studies and global examples; 7. Future prospects and policy recommendations.

Conceptual Framework of Sustainable Community Health

Sustainable community health is governed by the concept of a dynamic, multidimensional state of well-being regulated and influenced by diverse social, economic, and environmental determinants (Kickbusch, Gleicher, 2012). As noted by Mpofu: "sustainability in health denotes holding the capacity of a community to meet its needs independently while protecting fairness and ecological balance" (Mpofu, 2020). Traditional biomedical models suggest that health can be controlled and managed but do not assess the components that define one's well-being. In other words, community welfare is a state achieved not through the isolation of one's needs and developments but through the state of well-functioning, well-balanced. In addition to natural systems that harbor life, these systems include social system and institutions, among others.

Sustainable well-being can thus be identified with three foundational pillars: social sustainability ensuring inclusion and justice, economic sustainability which guarantees the

capacity of individuals to use resources efficiently, and environmental sustainability aiming to protect natural living systems. This integrated perspective is consistent with contemporary sustainable development approaches that advocate balancing social, economic, and environmental priorities to achieve transformative and equitable development outcomes (Biswas et al., 2021). These three dimensions provide the basis for health promotion that is adaptable, participatory, and sustainable (Hancock, 1993). In this regard, the concept of sustainable community health is seen as a system of life where systems thinking operates. The achievement of health is the result of the interaction of various determinants such as education, income, housing, culture, and governance, and not from isolated medical intervention (Lang, Rayner, 2012). This perspective builds upon the enduring principles of sustainable development, emphasizing the integration of environmental protection, social equity, and economic prosperity as mutually reinforcing objectives for long-term societal well-being (Holden et al., 2014). Consequently, sustainable health addresses cross-sectoral determinants, including health care, environmental protection, and social empowerment, making it more comprehensive. The community is not a passive consumer but an active participant in the formation and deployment of health strategies. For example, people's participation in the decisions that affect their lives will make the health system more accountable, more culturally appropriate, and ensure sustainable health architecture and deployment.

Technology and innovation may further support the framework by increasing efficiency, fostering communication and enabling effective monitoring. More specifically, digital health platforms and mobile diagnostics and the analysis facilitated by the data analysis accumulated at various levels can bridge the gap and establish transparency in resource management. Prybutok mentioned the possibility to utilize the digital health divide in order to extend access to the most vulnerable populations and emphasize transparency as a feature of a data-driven policy design (Prybutok et al., 2025). It is also the area that requires ethical guidance and reasonable distribution to avoid creating additional levels of exclusion. Therefore, the possibility of fairness, gender, and intergenerational orientation was incorporated into the proposed framework, thus, enhancing inclusivity.

Ultimately, the sustainable community health framework views health as a collective societal concern that intertwines human well-being with environmental well-being and economic sustainability. LSHS also understands that lasting health success is achieved through together stakeholder entities, equity-based strategies, and higher adaptability. By integrating environmental stability at the center of community health, communities may create a situation where everybody has a chance to live a good life, contribute, and prosper in alignment with nature.

The Three Pillars of Sustainable Community Health: Social, Economic, and Environmental Dimensions

The sustainable community health model is based on three intertwined and dependent components: social, economic, and environmental sustainability. While all three support healthcare systems and safeguard the population, the interactions among the three components define community health and its potential. As Mpofu put it, "Sustainability is the concept of a community's capability to sustain conditions that support health through social systems in fairness, through stark economic decisions and via vigilant administration of the environment". It should be remembered that through the functioning of these three dimensions, an ecosystem based on an

equal set of conditions is created in which long-term support for public health, environmental and social factors operate. At the same time, if one element, for example, does not receive the required attention, such as when, the speed of economic development becomes problematic for nature or when the development of social inequality in society increases, then community health sustainability is destroyed and becomes very vulnerable.

Social sustainability is founded on social inclusion, social justice, and active participation and should be well reconciled with the ultimate goal of community well-being. The sustainability of community health also depends on maintaining collective responsibility for social welfare, as excessive shifts toward individual responsibility may increase inequalities and weaken social protection systems (Ervik, 2015). Recent research emphasizes that social sustainability requires competencies related to equity, inclusion, cultural understanding, and collaborative decision-making, which are essential for building resilient and healthy communities (Demssie et al., 2026; Paric et al., 2026). It is concerned with the equitable provision of opportunities for healthcare, education and social resources. For example, based on the works of Marmot, income, education, housing, and gender inequities and social injustices are four broad determinants of poor health. Those communities that create an enabling environment for everyone to participate in and coordinate decision-making have a high harmonizing effect, strong social capital and a high level of resilience, and uphold sustainable behavioral outcome.

Finally, social sustainability must also encompass cultural diversity and intergenerational solidarity, meaning that current development should not impede the ability of the future generations to satisfy their needs. Whenever these social determinants are accounted for, the culture of collective accountability and tolerance becomes the basis of sustainable health in communities. Economic sustainability means that health systems can operate efficiently, equitably, and perpetually without undermining financial and human capabilities. It implies striking a balance between economic advancement and equity such that all people can access fair and inexpensive treatment without contributing irresponsibly to the pool of available resources. According to Brenner, an unhealthy reliance on hospital-based treatment systems, which are mainly curative in nature, has fostered financial instability and increased inequalities. Timely intervention, neighborhood care, and preventative health services that rely on comprehensive labor markets, and workers and children's education are the best ways to maintain viable economic health systems. According to Mpofu, local economic resiliency utilizing microfinance and health insurance cooperatives and small-scale enterprises aims to enhance livelihoods while also bolstering health security. Moreover, economic reliability cannot be achieved without openness, responsibility, and fresh financing analytics for scrutinizing structured health planning.

On the other hand, environmental sustainability is the ecological part of community health that helps to ensure that the ecological vital ecological resources such as clean water, clean air, productive soil and biodiversity are safeguarded for current and future generations. Monitoring environmental quality through appropriate indicators is essential for sustainable development, as improvements in environmental conditions directly contribute to community health and overall well-being (Kusuma et al., 2022). The interconnected crises of climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental pollution pose significant threats to human health and sustainable development, requiring integrated policy responses across sectors (Baste, Watson, 2021). As a result, Denny and Davidson argue that a healthy environment is fundamental to public health since degradation

negatively affects health outcomes and disaster vulnerability. According to Mpofu, plans to protect the environment should be integrated into the community health agenda to demonstrate the connection between environmental stewardship, disease prevention, and disaster resilience. Another determinant of health disparities is the threat of climate change, pollution, and resource extraction. Pollution remains one of the largest environmental causes of disease and premature mortality worldwide, affecting populations through contaminated air, water, soil, and occupational exposures (Landrigan et al., 2018). The World Health Organization suggests that if health is met, tens of millions of premature deaths could be averted every year. People and the planet could both have it all if all the solutions identified could be achieved: green healthcare buildings, renewable energy systems, and pollution-free waste removal.

It is important to recognize that all three of these pillars – social, economic, as well as environmental – are substantially interconnected. First and foremost, social inclusion leads to increased economic productivity which then enables investments in environmental protection which, in turn, benefits social and economic areas. According to Mpofu: “sustainable community health is dependent on coherence between the three dimensions achieved through systems integration policy and governance, partnership and participation”. Healthy and well-functioning societies which focus on the equality-based social structures, environmentally-attentive economic growth and retention of ecological systems create a loop of health and sustainability. To put it simply, sustainable community health is not a brief or separate process but an ongoing interaction among the three pillars. It ensures that communities remain safe, prospering and life-giving for many generations.

Livable Communities and Community Participation

The cornerstone of sustainable community health is the notion of livable communities (Keyes, Benavides, 2021). A livable community is one that prioritizes safety, inclusivity for all persons, fairness, and good health at all phases of growth (WHO, 2022). It is a community that is equipped with the facilities and support necessary to get and stay healthy (Kar, 2023). One scholar goes so far as to assert that livable communities are not systems where individuals and communities cooperate passively based on various infrastructures, but rather systems where actors actively plan and engage in numerous dimensions of the life worlds they inhabit (Mpofu, 2020). Equity, accessibility, participation, and environmental quality define and interconnect the sustainability of livable communities (Evans, 2020). Equity is the state in which all genders, income strata, social predispositions have equal and fair access to opportunities and resources (Siqueira et al., 2022). Accessibility is determined by the availability of public spaces, transport systems, and dedicated services for the well-being of everyone, regardless of age, including the young, old people, persons with disabilities (Bull et al., 2020). Participation is the aspect of giving citizens authority and enabling people not only to access the environment but decide the way it influences their health (Arnstein, 1969). These elements can collectively show the sustainability of a community as they facilitate well-being sharing among communities (Vieira et al., 2021). In the above-cited source, Mpofu (2020) argues that sustainable community health is achieved from “under the community’s own impetus”. In this regard, it behoves communities to define priorities, plan interventions, and assess their effectiveness (Mpofu, 2020). The inclusive methodologies stimulate the sense of responsibility and ownership (WHO, 2022). Indeed, multiple local and individual health challenges deserve specific

responses (Evans, 2020). The WHO (2022) confirms the stated above opinion, insisting on one of the community participation's principles: "for example, through making a programme relevant to the beliefs, practices and culture of the community concerned". Governance including the component of participation stimulates cooperation, (Kar, 2023).

International experiences show the impact of participation on health (Siqueira et al., 2022). In Brazil, the local health councils are sub-units of the Instituto Único de Saúde universidade (SUS) framework that enables citizens to oversee the implementation of healthcare services and contribute to policy at the local level (Paim et al., 2011). The National Rural Health Mission in India devolves power to manage primary healthcare centers to the local Panchayati Raj institutions and in Kenya, community health workers facilitate the immersion of rural populations to public health (Kok et al., 2015). The aforementioned examples teach that the systems of health built on community input are more balanced, egalitarian, and efficient (Evans, 2020).

Livable societies also require comprehensive urban and rural planning. Green spaces, walkable colonies, secure transport, and pollution elimination contribute to excellent health and well-being in urban communities by improving mental and physical health (Keyes, Benavides, 2021). Investment in rural hygiene, renewable energy, and sustainable agriculture improves nutrition and diminish the disease burden (Kar, 2023). Combining ecological design with social equality underpins Keyes and Benavides' (2021) concept of "environmental livability" described as a model in which sustainability and health are inherently linked. People living in these environments are inspired to lead active lifestyles, social engagement, and community involvement (Evans, 2020).

Finally, technological innovation also allows for greater community and individual livability and participation (Mpofu, 2020). Digital platforms such as telemedicine, mobile health apps, and e-learning on health topics allow individuals and communities to access information and health services regardless of the interlocking geographic scales that sustain health disparities (WHO, 2022). Equitable access to technology, however, remains an issue (Siqueira et al., 2022). Otherwise, as Mpofu (2020) argues, it risks creating "have" and "have not" communities. Moreover, the Zimbabwean academic underscores that technology should enhance, not replace, human "sense of community" capacity; technology can support the community self-organizing system, not create dependence on experts (Mpofu, 2020). Moreover, livable communities are those that can exhibit adaptive governance – the capability to adapt and react flexibly to new and emerging challenges (Evans, 2020). One of the adaptation mechanisms is participatory planning that enables the community to adapt strategies for health and development promotion based on local economic possibilities and available resources. The element of adaptation also plays a key role in increasing the capacity for resilience with the ability to return to pre-crisis life after economic downfall, epidemic, or environmental crises, all while saving the life of the community (WHO, 2022).

Ultimately, livable communities are the practice of sustainable community health (Keyes, Benavides, 2021). They embody the tenets of sustainability in the experiences of safety, equity, and participation (Evans, 2020). Livability is when every individual, no matter their situation, has the chance to live a good life together alongside others and our planet (Siqueira et al., 2022). When people will gain trustworthiness, a considerate attitude, and environmental stewardship, we can establish places that last for communities and the planet (WHO, 2022). As Mpofu (2020) writes, "Livability and sustainability are not two parallel targets. They are unilateral discourses of a common vision: citizens creating their own well-being and futures and living them together".

An Additional Critical Need Is the Fusion

Healthy, sustainable health systems are the cornerstone of societal healthcare, in which meeting the community's health needs are met, healthcare resources are delivered, and health policies are implemented (WHO, 2022; Mpofu, 2020). Such systems must also be sustainable over time. According to Mpofu (2020), sustainability in the health domain encompasses the establishment of and retention of public health structures that are fair and flexible. They are sensitive to health issues, as well as being sensitive to the economic, social, environmental, and legislative changes that affect them (Evans, 2020). Moreover, such a system ensures that health policies extend beyond the clinic and hospital settings to include the protection of the surroundings the communities operate in and the people participate in doing so (Canton, 2021). These processes are directed at fulfilling current demands whilst also allowing folks to live long and healthy lives in the future, which means they are sustainable (Keyes, Benavides, 2021).

Sustainable health policy formulation starts with the premise that health outcomes are intrinsically linked to other sectors – education, housing, environment, and social welfare (Bull et al., 2020). The World Health Organization (WHO, 2021) recommends a Health in All Policies approach, an intersectoral approach to policymaking recommended by the United Nations, in the framework of the Sustainable Development Goal 3, which in its simplest form aims to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all ages. Countries who already have a tradition of established intersectoral networks, e.g. India and Sweden, showed that combining community action with environmental and social approaches to planning will improve both quality of health outcomes and make them more sustainable (Dahlgren, Whitehead, 2006).

At the same time, economic efficiency and preventive care stand out as another fundamental element of such health systems (Brenner, 2019). As Brenner (2019) argues, prevention-based strategies, which involve vaccination programs, awareness campaigns, sanitation, and other public health measures, are more cost-effective and socially just than the curative or hospital-oriented systems. Recent evidence further demonstrates that preventive health programs improve public health outcomes while reducing long-term healthcare expenditures, thereby enhancing the economic sustainability of health systems (Dyshekov et al., 2025). Flipping the funding and personnel cycle from hospital-based care to preventive and community-based programs can substantially reduce the costs of health care in the long term and benefit population health (Evans, 2020). Mpofu (2020) highlights the importance of community empowerment in shaping such preventive care programs; specifically, local residents should not only have the right but also the responsibility for arranging such programs.

Human resources for health are also critical for sustainability (WHO, 2022). According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2022): “a well-trained, motivated, and equitably distributed workforce are the cornerstone of sustainable systems”. Nonetheless, the shortage of health caregivers, especially in rural areas, is still challenging (Canton, 2021). This issue can be overcome by personnel training programs, development of the community health worker initiative, and adequate incentivization for the work completed (Kok et al., 2015). Furthermore, Mpofu (2020) argues in the article that social workers, teachers, and other volunteers “be accepted as some of the active participants in sustainable models of health and healing”.

Innovative technology is an essential tool in promoting sustainability (Bull et al., 2020; Evans, 2020). Examples of digitally platforms in the health sector include digital health platforms, telemedicine, mobile applications, among others which promote access to healthcare and diminish geographical isolation of health services particularly in resource-poor settings or comparatively-developed nations (Kar, 2023). Such technologies will continue to evolve in allowing real-time monitoring of diseases, patient engagement, and data-driven policymaking (Mpofu, 2020). On the other hand, green technologies, like solar energy in hospitals and sustainable waste management systems, promote environmental protection and in the long process health sustainability (Vieira et al., 2021). However, technology must be complemented by ethical considerations and safeguards against exploitative practices to promote equality, equity, and justice (Keyes, Benavides, 2021).

The foundational structuring framework within which sustainable practices are actualized is good governance and accountability (Kar, 2023). This is achieved through clear and transparent decision-making, planning, and resource allocation through participatory approaches and decentralized structures such that the community can demand accountability (Evans, 2020). According to Mpofu (2020): "Participatory governance acts to build community trust and health synergy and support the ownership of the introduction to enhance its long-term sustainability". Likewise, monitoring and evaluation systems must be in place to monitor progress towards long-term sustainability (WHO, 2022). The WHO (2022) suggests the utilization of comprehensive indicators that include environmental and social determinate indicators and not just quantitative outcome measures related to clinical endpoints (Canton, 2021).

To sum it up, sustainable health systems are those that have policies of prevention, equity, participation, and responsibility towards the environment (Evans, 2020). They are based on good governance, a qualified workforce, and ethical use of technology (WHO, 2022). Sustainability, as Mpofu (2020) emphasizes in this work, is not just a form of a system but a continuous process of change, cooperation, and education. Thanks to integrative policies and participation, communities can create durable health systems that safeguard people and the environment equally for many years (Keyes, Benavides, 2021).

Challenges and Barriers to Implementation

Despite the popular support for the vision of sustainable community health, it is difficult to implement in real-life settings, considering the scope of social, economic, political, and environmental factors involved (WHO, 2022). According to Mpofu (2020), the winning edge of effective sustainability initiatives involves community and government collaboration, in which people and public officials "understand the theories and policies 'theorized' and 'postulated' and commit to theories applied and policies implemented through coherence". Evidently, the obstacles to sustainable community health are various and include structural and financial restrictions, cultural and environmental barriers, among others. It is vital to understand the scope of such obstacles to develop strong and adaptive health systems that cater to the needs of present and future generations (Evans, 2020).

Another important obstacle is structural and governance limitations. Poor institutional linkages, poorly aligned policy settings, and insufficient administrative competence all contribute to preventing sustainability principles from being effectively integrated into everything from

national to integrated local health planning (Canton, 2021). In several less affluent regions, the decentralization programs were promoted as a way to strengthen local programs but produced disparate service networks since local authorities did not obtain the resources or technological abilities to manage them (Kar, 2023).

An equally large barrier is economic and resource limitations (Brenner, 2019; Bull et al., 2020). Protection and community-based health care and other preventive measures are recognized as an excellent idea for investment, but many governments still spend the vast majority of their budgets on curative services and tertiary hospitals (Evans, 2020). According to Brenner (2019), without stable financing mechanisms and rational resource allocation, health systems stay response-based, not predictive. The reality is that global economic volatility, including pandemics, and inflation pressure often divert funds from projects aiming at long-term sustainability (Canton, 2021). For low and middle-income countries, financial reliance on external donors is an especially challenging barrier since it reduces the opportunity to act autonomously and predictably over time (Kar, 2023). Financial sustainability implies an innovative funding mechanism that offers various models such as community-based health insurance, public-private partnerships, and performance-based budgeting to ensure consistent funding for preventive initiatives related to environmental health (Mpofu, 2020).

The sustainability of community health systems is also jeopardized by human-resource shortages (WHO, 2022). A total of 18 million health workers is expected to be in deficit by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2022). It affects rural and marginalized communities. According to Mpofu (2020), "high mobility of skilled human capital from developing to developed countries perpetuates inequality. This creates an unlevel playing field as poorer regions have diminished capacity to provide such quality services". Mpofu (2020) also stresses other adverse factors, including a lack of professional development, low remuneration, and burnout. Sustainability of human resources includes not only training and recruitment but also acknowledgement and appropriate valuation of community-based workers, volunteers, and local leaders who often provide a bridge between formal health institutions and the populations they serve (Kok et al., 2015).

On the other hand, people have many deeply rooted beliefs and may exhibit high levels of distrust for formal health systems (Evans, 2020). Moreover, gender-based inequalities also affect patients' ability to be involved and respond to programs designed to support their condition. The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed existing gender inequalities, with increased levels of violence against women highlighting the need for gender-sensitive and socially inclusive public health policies (Emandi et al., 2021). In some regions, traditional medicine practices are also incompatible and tend to contradict modern healthcare or merely lack synergy: "Traditional medicine coexists with western/modern medicine to create tension among its two systems". In short, cultural competence and communication are required to launch sustainable health outcomes initiatives and ensure their acceptance, understanding, and maintenance in local contexts (Bull et al., 2020).

Moreover, environmental and technological dimensions also add complexities to the sustainability question (Vieira et al., 2021). Climate change leads to diseases, while pollution and resource depletion increase the pressing problem with its high burden and a negative impact on the most vulnerable populations (Keyes, Benavides, 2021). On the other hand, not all people can benefit from the revolution innovations bring. The digital divide, which is uneven literacy, infrastructure, or cost of access, translates into unequal electronic health and telehealth use

(Kar, 2023). Mpofu (2020) argues that technology can solve some sustainability issues through streamlining, but ethics and inclusiveness are still required, as sustainability is not just about being effective at the cost of long-term harm.

Another critical barrier is political instability and policy discontinuity (WHO, 2022). Frequent leadership changes, interminable shifting government priorities, and donor-driven agendas disrupt long-term programs (Canton, 2021). No programme will succeed without sustained political commitment and continuity to implement and expand its lessons. Weak accountability systems and corruption may also reduce public confidence, which in turn may reduce interest in participating in and complying with the rules of the health programs (Brenner, 2019).

Finally, M&E gaps impede the ability to measure progress and adjust strategies (WHO, 2022). Indeed, while much of the health systems use limited data that record disease incidence and not depressing wider sustainability indicators like equity, environmental resilience, and community participation, working with the support of communities, governments, civil society, and the donor community to create a participatory M&E approach where communities have co-ownership in the collection and the interpretation of data guarantees that everyone is held accountable and there is an avenue for learning (Mpofu, 2020).

To summarize, the implementation of sustainable community health systems requires addressing, structural inefficiencies, financial instability, workforce inadequacy, culture opposition, and environmental risk (WHO, 2022). According to Mpofu (2020), sustainability should not be a final goal but an endless improvement process, based on open communities-governments partnerships and relationships between global institutions. When these elements are integrated, communities can convert sustainability from a dream into daily life, developing health systems that withstand and adapt to circumstances along with their members (Evans, 2020; Brenner, 2019).

Case Studies and Global Examples

The examples of communities around the world provide real-world cases of how the proposed principles of sustainable community health can be applied responsibly. Indeed, these themes of innovation, participation, and intersectoral action are predominant in the case studies presented, the locations of which vary greatly. The overall success of the examples demonstrates that sustainability is achievable when the local stakeholders are empowered, resources are organized responsibly, and policies correspond to the immediate context. Mpofu (2020) posits that examples such as these support the notion that health systems are more sustainable when they evolve from within communities, aided by responsive governance and evidence-based decision-making.

One of the most prominent examples is Brazil's Unified Health System (SUS), globally recognized as a model of participatory health governance. Established in 1988, SUS is based on universality, community engagement, and equity. It includes municipal and regional health assemblies where inhabitants, healthcare professionals, and policymakers collaboratively generate and monitor initiatives. SUS's decentralized structure enhances accountability and access to preventive and primary care, especially in disadvantaged regions. Mpofu (2020) exemplifies the Brazilian model as a role model framework showing how democratized health governance strengthens system resilience and equity, even under economic and political constraints. Another strong case is India's National Rural Health Mission (NRHM), later reorganized as the National Health Mission (NHM).

Recent assessments indicate that NHM has played a crucial role in expanding healthcare access and reducing regional disparities in health service delivery across India (Ahuja, 2025). It is characterized by decentralization of administration and finance, giving states greater independence and promoting intersectoral collaboration (Bajpai, Dholakia, 2011). Village health committees and the Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHA) program have notably advanced women's role as health facilitators. These efforts have improved maternal and child health, sanitation, and community responsibility for sustaining healthcare delivery-demonstrating that empowerment and inclusivity reinforce sustainability.

An African example is Kenya's Community Health Strategy (CHS), where community-based health workers are effectively integrated into the formal system. Each worker provides health education, basic care, and referral support. This strategy has expanded vaccination coverage, reduced maternal mortality, and improved disease surveillance. According to Mpofo (2020), Kenya's case connects social participation with national data systems, linking grassroots actions with health policy – a model of flexibility and community ownership. In Sweden, sustainability manifests through livable community initiatives integrating public health, urban planning, and environmental management (Barton et al., 2021). Municipalities have developed models emphasizing green infrastructure, active mobility, and renewable energy investments-demonstrating that societal structure and environmental design are fundamental to well-being. In addition, experiences from rural and regional development policies indicate that long-term sustainability depends on addressing demographic change, economic transformation, and spatial inequalities through integrated and place-based approaches (Garcilazo, 2022).

Finally, Rwanda's post-genocide health reforms exemplify sustainability through the "Mutuelles de Santé", a community-based health insurance model that underpins universal health coverage. Binagwaho et al. (2014) highlight this system's success in improving maternal health, life expectancy, and disease control through strong political commitment and local governance. Together, these examples illustrate that sustainable community health is not a fixed formula but a contextually adaptive framework. As Mpofo (2020) concludes, only when communities become co-creators of their health sustainability can systems achieve longevity and intergenerational resilience.

Future Prospects and Policy Recommendations

The future of sustainable community health relies on societies' collaborative efforts to enhance their resilience, foster equity, and leverage innovation in the face of unprecedented global risks (WHO, 2022; FAO et al., 2023). In the context of new and immediate risks, such as climate change, pandemics, demographic changes, and technological destabilization, all public health fields need to prioritize and integrate sustainability (Bull et al., 2020). In this aspect, the continuous evolution of community-based successful models provides a set of recommendations that the new health systems should preferably exploit. Not only should the new health systems be adaptable, inclusive, and responsive, but they should also be environmentally sustainable in the longer-term perspective (Brenner, 2019). Population ageing is becoming a major global challenge, requiring health systems to adopt age-friendly and person-centered approaches that support healthy ageing and maintain quality of life across the life course (WHO, 2021). One of the essential dimensions

for further improvement in the future is the development of preventive and community-centered health systems (WHO, 2021). Community-based preventive strategies supported by effective policy interventions can significantly improve public health outcomes by reducing disease burden, strengthening health awareness, and promoting long-term community resilience (Najihah et al., 2025). True sustainability is unattainable as long as the field remains a reactive activity targeting terminal stages of disease development and associated symptoms. Sustainable development in health care will not be met until the field shifts to proactive approach targeted at prevention from the root causes (Kar, 2023). Preventive medicine and effective chronic disease management can substantially reduce healthcare expenditures while improving long-term population health outcomes, making prevention-oriented approaches essential for sustainable health systems (Mbata et al., 2024). According to WHO, up to 80% of the required health services can be effectively addressed through good primary health services (WHO, 2023).

An additional critical need is the fusion of health and climate resilience. Our planet's rising environmental degradation, weather extremes, and smog jeopardize billions of lives (Romanello et al., 2021). Building climate-resilient and low-carbon health systems requires not only sustainable infrastructure but also a well-prepared healthcare workforce equipped with the knowledge and skills needed to respond to climate-related health challenges (Nayna Schwerdtle et al., 2026). The latest climate assessments indicate that climate change is already affecting human health, ecosystems, food security, and water resources, underscoring the urgency of integrating climate adaptation and mitigation strategies into public health planning (IPCC, 2023; Romanello et al., 2021). Strengthening health system resilience is increasingly recognized as a priority for managing the growing health risks associated with natural disasters and climate-related emergencies (Ferguson et al., 2024). Future policy should entangle environmental sustainability into every bit of health delivery, including constructing green hospitals, arranging energy-efficient technologies, and developing early-warning systems for climate-related health risks (Vieira et al., 2021).

Digital health solutions, AI, and telemedicine, in particular, can make medical services accessible in remote areas, develop diagnostics and data management process (WHO, 2022). The effective use of data has become a critical component of modern development strategies, supporting evidence-based decision-making, improved governance, and more efficient delivery of public services, including healthcare (Kar, 2023). However, it should be recognized technologies should empower communities instead of acting as replacements for human care (Nascimento et al., 2023). The ethical governance of artificial intelligence is equally important, requiring transparency, accountability, human oversight, and respect for human rights to ensure that digital innovations contribute positively to sustainable and equitable healthcare systems (Morandín-Ahuerma, 2023).

Furthermore, sustainable community health also hinges on strong human resource capacity. The World Health Organization states that the world faces a shortage of over 18 million health workers, the deficit is most acute for low-income countries (WHO, 2022). Recent assessments of the Global Strategy on Human Resources for Health: Workforce 2030 indicate that strengthening workforce planning, education, recruitment, and retention remain essential for achieving sustainable and equitable health systems worldwide (McIsaac et al., 2024). At the same time, technological change and the evolving nature of work are reshaping employment patterns and skill requirements globally, creating new challenges for workforce preparedness and inclusive development (Stromquist, 2019). To overcome this barrier, direct investment in workforce capacity in the form of education, fair

remuneration and retention strategies to avoid exportations of professionals will be needed (Kar, 2023). It will also require creating local learning institutions, the promotion of community health worker programs, gender balance in the workforce. A motivated and educated professional body provides probably the best sign of sustainable systems and strength in nations when disasters strike (FAO et al., 2023).

Achieving sustainability at a system-wide level requires the integration of policies and intersectoral governance (Brenner, 2019). The World Health Organization's health systems framework emphasizes the importance of strengthening governance, financing, workforce capacity, service delivery, health information systems, and access to essential medicines to improve health outcomes sustainably (WHO, 2007). Integrating natural elements into urban design through biophilic planning approaches enhances physical and mental well-being while supporting sustainable and resilient community health systems (Szibbo, 2011). Health outcomes are influenced by a broad spectrum of factors not limited to the health sector: namely education, housing, food systems, and environmental aspects (WHO, 2021). According to Brenner, 'health in all policies' is a strategy that places considerations regarding health in the midst of all other areas of policy making (Brenner, 2019). The government should establish integrated task forces on a cross-sectoral level that supervise actions and exchange the necessary data (Siqueira et al., 2022). Collaboration ensures sufficient transparency and accountability measures and stimulates a culture of innovation, which is essential for the growth of a culture of innovation that underpins the long-term sustainability of health gains (WHO, 2022).

Economic sustainability has a great influence on the development of the future health system (Kar, 2023). In order to achieve synchronization, the countries need to establish various ways of raising funds and avoiding dependence on external donors (Siqueira et al., 2022). According to Brenner, adopting the three principles of a sustainable financing framework is challenging but achievable (Brenner, 2019). The point is that the financing frameworks must maintain the balance between effusiveness and inclusivity, i.e., avoidance of corners. At the same time, public-private partnerships that keep trustworthy ethical and environmental standards become stronger (Vieira et al., 2021). In rural and peripheral regions, sustainable community health is closely linked to rural economic structural transformation and strengthened regional linkages that support livelihoods and long-term development (Truong Cong, 2022).

Finally, an integrated cycle of research would supply policymakers with the necessary data for enhancing their policies, while a simpler one promotes a culture of learning and change (WHO, 2023). Future professionals should be educated about the concepts of sustainability (WHO, 2021).

Ultimately, the future of sustainable community health lies in the formation of integrated systems taking into account preventive care, environmental stewardship, technological inclusivity, and participatory governance (FAO et al., 2023). This future path can only be realized through the coordinated efforts of all sectors of society and conducted in the principles of equity, ethics, and innovation (WHO, 2022). Sustained investment in health policy and financing, workforce development, and research will enable nations to develop systems that serve to protect the well-being of both biodiversity and humanity, preserving health as an enduring public good for all.

Conclusion

Sustainable community health remains the ultimate aspiration for humanity's tomorrow – a model of social equity, economic moderation, and ecological equilibrium in the holistic matrix of flourishing. It is a self-evident reminder that health is more than the mere absence of illness—it is a state of equality, safety, and respectful existence as part of daily living. A truly sustainable system provides for Mother Nature and human nurture at the same time, ensuring that today's gains are not borrowed from tomorrow. This chapter has argued that there is no other way for wellness to endure unless communities are empowered, preventive health encouraged, humanism strengthened, and environmentalism embedded into public health. Sustainable development is a journey whose starting point is the community, a context in which policy is translated into practice, through collaboration, trust in each other, and citizen participation. People's commitment to the realization of community health actions and their collective action are what will prepare them and enable them to deal with the global challenges of climate change, pandemics, and inequality. Thus, sustainable community health is a defined path toward realizing inclusive governance, integrated thinking, and innovation coupled with a long-term mandate for all sectors.

In the years to come, a thriving and healthful future will require advancing development with compassion and matching technology with ethics to create growth concurrently with environmental accountability. Health systems must continue to evolve, motivated by principles of equity, adaptability, and collaboration. Through science, knowledge, and community mobilization, communities will cultivate environments where every individual is empowered to succeed and live in harmony with others and the world. Sustainable community health is more than just a dream; it is the keystone of an equitable, resilient, and vigorous world for all.

Acknowledgments

Rajni Devi sincerely acknowledges the University Grants Commission (UGC), India (NTA Reference No. 220520206090) for the award of the Junior Research Fellowship (JRF).

References:

1. Ahuja, S. (2025). *Evolution, Objectives, and Impact on India's Health System A Comprehensive Overview*. National Health Mission (NHM).
2. Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216-224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>
3. Bajpai, N., Sachs, J., Dholakia, R. H. (2010). *Improving Access and Efficiency in Public Health Services: Mid-Term Evaluation of India's National Rural Health Mission*. SAGE. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9788132107873>
4. Barton, H., Grant, M. (2006). A health map for the local human habitat. *The Journal of the Royal Society for the Promotion of Health*, 126, 252-253. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1466424006070466>
5. Barton, H., Grant, M., Guise, R. (2021). *Shaping neighbourhoods: For local health and global sustainability* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429321245>
6. Baste, I., Watson, R. (2021). *Making Peace with Nature: A scientific blueprint to tackle the climate, biodiversity and pollution emergencies*. United Nations Environment Programme.

7. Binagwaho, A., Farmer, P. E., Nsanzimana, S., Karema, C., Gasana, M., de Dieu Ngirabega, J., Ngarbo, F., Wagner, C. M., Nutt, C. T., Nyatanyi, T., Gatera, M., Kayiteshonga, Y., Mugeni, C., Mugwaneza, P., Shema, J., Uwaliraye, P., Gaju, E., Muhimpundu, M. A., Dushime, T., ... Drobac, P. C. (2014). Rwanda 20 years on: investing in life. *The Lancet*, 384(9940), 371-375. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60574-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60574-2)
8. Biswas, S. S., Ahad, M. A., Nafis, M. T., Alam, M. A., Biswas, R. (2021). Introducing “α- Sustainable Development” for transforming our world: A proposal for the 2030 agenda. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 321, 129030. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129030>
9. Brenner, N. (2019). *New urban spaces: Urban theory and the scale question*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190627188.001.0001>
10. Brundtland Commission. (1987). *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press.
11. Buchweitz, C., Caye, A., Kieling, C. (2022). On our minds: the state of child and adolescent mental health. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*, 44, 233-234. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2021-2344>
12. Bull, F. C., Al-Ansari, S. S., Biddle, S., Borodulin, K., Buman, M. P., Cardon, G., Carty, C., Chaput, J.-P., Chastin, S., Chou, R., Dempsey, P. C., DiPietro, L., Ekelund, U., Firth, J., Friedenreich, C. M., Garcia, L., Gichu, M., Jago, R., Katzmarzyk, P. T., ... Willumsen, J. F. (2020). World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(24), 1451-1462. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955>
13. Canton, H. (2021). United Nations Development Programme – UNDP. In Europa Publications, *The Europa Directory of International Organizations 2021* (pp. 179-184). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003179900>
14. Costello, A., Abbas, M., Allen, A., Ball, S., Bell, S., Bellamy, R., Friel, S., Groce, N., Johnson, A., Kett, M., Lee, M., Levy, C., Maslin, M., McCoy, D., McGuire, B., Montgomery, H., Napier, D., Pagel, C., Patel, J., ... Patterson, C. (2009). Managing the health effects of climate change. *The Lancet*, 373(9676), 1693-1733. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(09\)60935-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60935-1)
15. Dahlgren, G., Whitehead, M. (1991). *Policies and strategies to promote social equity in health. Background document to WHO – Strategy paper for Europe*. Institute for Futures Studies.
16. Dahlgren, G., Whitehead, M. (2006). *Levelling up (part 2): a discussion paper on European strategies for tackling social inequities in health*. WHO Regional Office for Europe. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/107791>
17. De Siqueira, J. H., Mtewa, A. G., Fabriz, D. C. (2022). United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). In S. Sayapin, R. Atadjanov, U. Kadam, G. Kemp, N. Zambrana-Tévar, N. Quénivet (eds.), *International conflict and security law: A research handbook* (pp. 761-777). T.M.C. Asser Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-515-7_36
18. Dehrashid, P. A., Mansourian, H., Sharifi, A. (2026). Healthy cities as catalysts for sustainable development: A systematic review of co-benefits, trade-offs, and solutions to the SDGs. *Progress in Planning*, 203(1), 101032. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2025.101032>
19. Demssie, Y. N., Rajala, A. J., Lehtomäki, E. (2026). Social sustainability competencies: a globally informed reference framework integrating non-Western and Western expert perspectives across all continents. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 560, 148370. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2026.148370>
20. Detels, R., Beaglehole, R., Lansang, M. A., Gulliford, M. (eds.). (2009). *Oxford Textbook of Public Health*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780199218707.001.0001>

21. Duff, J. H., Liu, A., Saavedra, J., Batycki, J. N., Morancy, K., Stocking, B., Gostin, L. O., Galea, S., Bertozzi, S., Zuniga, J. M., Alberto-Banatin, C., Dansua, A. S., del Rio, C., Kulzhanov, M., Lee, K., Scaglia, G., Shahpar, C., Ullmann, A. J., Hoffman, S. J., ... Szapocznik, J. (2021). A global public health convention for the 21st century. *The Lancet Public Health*, 6(6), e428-e433. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(21\)00070-0](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00070-0)
22. Dyshekov, I., Kapparov, M., Ulbaev, A. (2025). Economic Efficiency of Preventive Programs in The Public Health System. *Ekonomika Iupravlenie: Problemy, Resheniya*, 5(14), 15-21. <https://doi.org/10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2025.05.14.002>
23. Emandi, R., Encarnacion, J., Seck, P., Tabaco, R. (2021). *Measuring the shadow pandemic: violence against women during COVID-19*. UN Women. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.25927.78240>
24. Ervik, R., Kildal, N. (2015). From collective to individual responsibility? Changing problem definitions of the welfare state. In R. Ervik, N. Kildal (eds.), *New Contractualism in European Welfare State Policies* (pp. 93-117). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315597911>
25. Evans, O. (2020). Socio-economic impacts of novel coronavirus: The policy solutions. *BizEcons Quarterly*, 7, 3-12.
26. FAO, IFAD, United Nations, UNDP, UNICEF, WFP, WHO, WMO. (2023). *Europe and Central Asia – Regional Overview of Food Security and Nutrition 2022*. Food and Agriculture Organization. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc4196en>
27. Ferguson, A., Ward, K., Parke, R. (2024). What is known about resilient healthcare systems in the context of natural disasters? A scoping review. *Collegian*, 31(5), 292-301. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colegn.2024.05.007>
28. Frank, L., Bigazzi, A., Hong, A., Minaker, L., Fisher, P., Raine, K. (2022). Built environment influences on healthy eating and active living: The NEWPATH study. *Obesity*, 30, 424-434. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.23352>
29. Frenk, J., Moon, S. (2013). Governance Challenges in Global Health. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 368, 936-942. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr1109339>
30. Garcilazo, J. (2022). Megatrends and Implications for Rural Development Policy. In A. Dumont, D. P. Davies, *Investing in Rural Prosperity* (pp. 15-28). Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.
31. Ghatak, S. (2022). *A layperson's guide to understanding the global Human Development Report 2021/22*. Im4change.
32. Hall, C., Wehling, H., Stansfield, J., South, J., Brooks, S., Greenberg, N., Amlôt, R., Weston, D. (2023). Examining the role of community resilience and social capital on mental health in public health emergency and disaster response: a scoping review. *BMC Public Health*, 23, 2482. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17242-x>
33. Hancock, T. (1993). Health, human development and the community ecosystem: Three ecological models. *Health Promotion International*, 8, 41-47. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/8.1.41>
34. Holden, E., Linnerud, K., Banister, D. (2014). Sustainable development: Our Common Future revisited. *Global Environmental Change*, 26, 130-139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.006>
35. IPCC. (2023). *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. <https://doi.org/10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647>

36. Jackson, R. (2003). The Impact of the Built Environment on Health: An Emerging Field. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93, 1382-1384. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.93.9.1382>
37. Kapucu, N., Ge, Y. 'Gurt,' Martín, Y., Williamson, Z. (2021). Urban resilience for building a sustainable and safe environment. *Urban Governance*, 1(1), 10-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ugj.2021.09.001>
38. Kar, S. (2023). Data for Better Lives: World Development Report 2021 by World Bank Group. *Journal of Data Science Informetrics and Citation Studies*, 2(2), 136-139. <https://doi.org/10.5530/jcitation.2.2.19>
39. Kawachi, I., Berkman, L. (2001). Social Ties and Mental Health. *Journal of Urban Health*, 78, 458-467. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jurban/78.3.458>
40. Keyes, L. M., Benavides, A. D. (2021). Creating livable communities. In E. Mpofu (ed.), *Sustainable community health: Systems and practices in diverse settings* (pp. 71-112). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59687-3_3
41. Kickbusch, I., Gleicher, D. (2012). *Governance for health in the 21st century*. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/326429>
42. Kickbusch, I. (2010). Health in all policies: The evolution of the concept of horizontal health governance. In I. Kickbusch, K. Buckett (eds.), *Implementing Health in All Policies* (pp. 11-24). Adelaide Government of South Australia.
43. Kirsten, T. G. J. C., Van der Walt, H. J. L., Viljoen, C. T. (2009). Health, well-being and wellness: An anthropological eco-systemic approach. *Health SA Gesondheid*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hsag.v14i1.407>
44. Kleopa, D., Novacovic, R., Christou, Y. A. (2024). Shaping neighbourhoods: for local health and global sustainability. *Cities & Health*, 8(4), 554-555. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2023.2203352>
45. Kok, M. C., Dieleman, M., Taegtmeier, M., Broerse, J. E., Kane, S. S., Ormel, H., Tijm, M. M., de Koning, K. A. (2015). Which intervention design factors influence performance of community health workers in low- and middle-income countries? A systematic review. *Health Policy and Planning*, 30(9), 1207-1227. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czu126>
46. Kusuma, A., Kartodihardjo, H., Setiawan, Y. (2022). Potential Improvement of Environmental Quality Index (EQI) Based on District Level Data. Case Study in Bekasi Regency. *Jurnal Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan (JPSL)*, 12(4), 651-659. <https://doi.org/10.29244/jpsl.12.4.651-659>
47. Landrigan, P. J., Fuller, R., Acosta, N. J. R., Adeyi, O., Arnold, R., Basu, N. (Nil), Baldé, A. B., Bertollini, R., Bose-O'Reilly, S., Boufford, J. I., Breyse, P. N., Chiles, T., Mahidol, C., Coll-Seck, A. M., Cropper, M. L., Fobil, J., Fuster, V., Greenstone, M., Haines, A., ... Zhong, M. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. *The Lancet*, 391(10119), 462-512. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32345-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0)
48. Lang, T., Rayner, G. (2012). Ecological public health: the 21st century's big idea? An essay by Tim Lang and Geof Rayner. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 345, e5466. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5466>

49. Lee, B., Kjaerulf, F., Turner, S., Cohen, L., Donnelly, P., Muggah, R., Davis, R., Realini, A., Kieselbach, B., Snyder, L., Waller, I., Gordon, R., Moloney-Kitts, M., Lee, G., Gilligan, J. (2016). Transforming Our World: Implementing the 2030 Agenda Through Sustainable Development Goal Indicators. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 37, 13-31. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41271-016-0002-7>
50. Mbata, A. O., Soyege, O. S., Nwokedi, C. N., Tomoh, B. O., Mustapha, A. Y., Balogun, O. D., For-kuo, A. Y., Iguma, D. R. (2024). Preventative medicine and chronic disease management: reducing healthcare costs and improving long-term public health. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 5(6), 1584-1600. <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2024.5.6.1584-1600>
51. McIsaac, M., Buchan, J., Abu-Agla, A., Kwar, R., Campbell, J. (2024). Global Strategy on Human Resources for Health: Workforce 2030 – A Five-Year Check-In. *Human Resources for Health*, 22, 68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-024-00940-x>
52. McMichael, A. J. (2017). Prisoners of the Proximate: Loosening the Constraints on Epidemiology in an Age of Change. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 185, 1206-1216. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwx111>
53. McMichael, A. J., Butler, C. D., Folke, C. (2003). New Visions for Addressing Sustainability. *Science*, 302(5652), 1919-1920. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090001>
54. Marmot, M. (2015). The health gap: The challenge of an unequal world. *The Lancet*, 386(10011), 2442-2444. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00150-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00150-6)
55. Morandín-Ahuerma, F. (2023). Diez recomendaciones de la Unesco sobre ética de la inteligencia artificial. In F. Morandín (ed.), *Principios normativos para una ética de la inteligencia artificial* (pp. 86-94). Consejo de Ciencia y Tecnología del Estado de Puebla.
56. Mpofu, E. (ed.). (2020). *Sustainable Community Health. Systems and Practices in Diverse Settings*. Palgrave Macmillan Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59687-3>
57. Najihah, K., Salmira, C., Apriani, N. (2025). Enhancing Public Health Outcomes Through Community-Based Preventive Strategies and Policy Interventions. *Journal of Asian-African Focus in Health*, 3(1), 20-33. <https://doi.org/10.71435/610854>
58. Nascimento, I. J. B., Abdulazeem, H. M., Vasanthan, L. T., Martinez, E. Z., Zucoloto, M. L., Østengaard, L., Azzopardi-Muscat, N., Zapata, T., Novillo-Ortiz, D. (2023). The global effect of digital health technologies on health workers' competencies and health workplace: An umbrella review of systematic reviews and lexical-based and sentence-based meta-analysis. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 5(8), e534-e544. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(23\)00092-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00092-4)
59. Nayna Schwerdtle, P., Mansour, A., Bowen, K., Jradi, F., Awad, J., Mrad, M., Carpenter, K., Sayeed, S. (2026). Building climate-resilient, low-carbon health systems: A knowledge, attitudes and practices study with the primary healthcare workforce in Lebanon. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health*, 28, 100658. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2026.100658>
60. Paim, J., Travassos, C., Almeida, C., Bahia, L., Macinko, J. (2011). The Brazilian health system: History, advances, and challenges. *The Lancet*, 377(9779), 1778-1797. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)60054-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60054-8)
61. Palaka, C., Prasad, S. V. V. D. M. B. (2025). Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 13(8), 47-51.

62. Paric, M., Rodriguez-Feria, P., Calle, M. del C., Lagos, G., Commers, M., Czabanowska, K. (2026). Cultural competence teaching in public health: a rapid review to support implementation. *Health Policy*, 164, 105512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2025.105512>
63. Prybutok, G., Liu, X., Ta, A., Prybutok, V. R. (2025). Exploring gender-specific nuances in social media health information sharing: An application of the SOR framework in eHealth research. *Health Communication*, 41(8), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2025.2564933>
64. Raworth, K. (2017). *Doughnut Economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
65. Romanello, M., McGushin, A., Di Napoli, C., Drummond, P., Hughes, N., Jamart, L., Kennard, H., Lampard, P., Solano Rodriguez, B., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Belesova, K., Cai, W., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Capstick, S., Chambers, J., Chu, L., Ciampi, L., Dalin, C., ...Hamilton, I. (2021). The 2021 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: code red for a healthy future. *The Lancet*, 398(10311), 1619-1662. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01787-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01787-6)
66. Stromquist, N. (2019). World Development Report 2019: The changing nature of work. Book Review. *International Review of Education*, 65, 321-329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-019-09762-9>
67. Szibbo, N. (2011). Biophilic Cities: Integrating Nature into Urban Design and Planning by Timothy Beatley. *Traditional Dwellings and Settlements Review*, 23(1), 75.
68. Truong Cong, B. (2022). Revisiting Rural Economic Structural Transformation from the Viewpoint of Regional Linkages. *Economy of Region*, 18(2), 312-323. <https://doi.org/10.17059/ekon.reg.2022-2-1>
69. Vieira, L. M., de Barcellos, M. D., de Araujo, G. P., Eriksson, M., Dora, M., Matzembacher, D. E. (2021). Food waste: Challenges and opportunities in sustainable operations. *Revista de Administração de Empresas*, 61(5), e0000-0019. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-759020210502>
70. WHO. (2007). *Everybody's business: strengthening health systems to improve health outcomes: WHO's framework for action*. World Health Organization. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/43918>
71. WHO. (2021). *Decade of healthy ageing: baseline report*. World Health Organization.
72. WHO. (2022). *Global report on health equity for persons with disabilities*. World Health Organization. <https://doi.org/10.48024/9789240063600>
73. WHO. (2023). *World health statistics 2023: Monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals*. World Health Organization. <https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/367912>